Envoy Medical Systems, LP 4500 Cumbria Lane Austin, TX 78727

Notice of Independent Review Decision

PH: (512) 836-9040

FAX: (512) 491-5145

DATE OF REVIEW: 8/08/12

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

Work Hardening Program (WHP) x 80 hrs/units; CPT: 97545, 97546

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Physician Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

DESCRIPTION OF THE REVIEW OUTCOME THAT CLEARLY STATES WHETHER OR NOT MEDICAL NECESSITY EXISTS FOR EACH OF THE HEALTH CARE SERVICES IN DISPUTE.

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Upheld X (Agree)

Overturned (Disagree)

Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

Adverse Determination Letters, 7/17/12, 7/06,12
Work Hardening Program Pre-Authorization Request, 7/02/12
Request for Reconsideration/Appeal, 7/13/12
History & Physical WHP, 6/28/12
Initial Behavioral Medicine Consultation
WHP Plan & Goals of Treatment, 6/28/12
Reevaluation, 6/08/12
Functional Capacity Evaluation, 6/04/12
Evaluation/Treatment, 6/19/12
ODG

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY SUMMARY

This patient reported an injury in xx/xxxx, while working where she had worked for several years. She stated a 25 pound box had fallen on her left forearm and wrist. She later had x-rays, was prescribed multiple medications, and used a wrist brace. She also had numerous physical therapy treatments, MRI, CT, EMG/NCV studies, and orthopedic evaluation. Her job was later terminated. She is currently being treated at and a work hardening program was requested.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION

I agree with the benefit company's decision to deny the requested services. Rationale for opinion: I agree with the reasoning stated by the reviewer who gave non approval. There is specific reference to the nearly full page denial explanation/rationale on the Hartford response document of 7/17/12. The physiology of the very local left wrist soft tissue injury with diagnosis of sprain/"tenosynovitis" with no fracture does not justify an 80 hour work hardening program..

DESCRIPTION AND SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION

ACOEM-AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGE BASE

AHCPR-AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES

DWC-DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES

EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

INTERQUAL CRITERIA

MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE & EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES

MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES

ODG-OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES

PRESSLEY REED. THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS

TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES

TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL

PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE DESCRIPTION)

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE DESCRIPTION)